

CS.145 **DA 2000.9564 & PP 2763**
UNAUTHORISED DEMOLITION OF FERRYMASTER'S COTTAGE ON
LOT 222 DP 514964, 43 WAGSTAFFE AVENUE, WAGSTAFFE
PROGRAM: LEGAL SERVICES

Introduction

Council may wish to reconsider its decision to defend the appeal against the Council's refusal of the development application for a dwelling house.

Background

At its meeting on 10 April 2001, Council refused a development application for a dwelling house on Lot 222 DP 514964, 43 Wagstaffe Avenue, Wagstaffe being Development Application 9564/2000. The reasons for refusal were:

- 1 The historical significance of the existing dwelling on the site.
- 2 Demolition of the existing dwelling would not be in the public interest.

At its meeting on 5 June 2001, Council resolved to defend the appeal in the Land and Environment Court. The hearing is set down for 3 September 2001 for four (4) days. The main issues which relate to the Ferryman's Cottage no longer apply as the structure has been demolished. However the other issues may inhibit or limit any proposed new structure on the site.

Whatever Council's decision is in this matter will not affect Council's ability to continue proceedings for the demolition of the Ferrymaster's Cottage without Council consent.

Financial Impact Statement

The cost of the appeal is estimated at \$40,000. This is a Class 1 matter therefore the rules provide no order as to costs.

RECOMMENDATION

Council determine if it wishes to continue with the defence of the appeal.

CS.146 **DA 2830/99 & PP 2404**
LAND AND ENVIRONMENT COURT MATTER AGAINST COUNCIL'S
APPROVAL FOR DEVELOPMENT CONSENT NO. 1999.2830 TO
MARCO LIPORONI ON LOT 22 DP 805703 WOY WOY ROAD, WOY WOY
BAY - APPLICANT: S J MAULE 1ST RESPONDENT: M LIPORONI
2ND RESPONDENT: GOSFORD CITY COUNCIL
PROGRAM: LEGAL SERVICES

Background

The applicant, S J Maule, commenced Class 4 proceedings in the Land and Environment Court against M Liporoni and Council.

CS.146 CONTD

The applicant is seeking an order that the consent issued by the Council to M Liporoni is void and has no effect. On 30 July 1999 Council granted development consent for a dwelling house on Lot 22 DP 805703 owned by M Liporoni. The neighbouring property, Lot 21 DP 805703, is owned by S Maule. S J Maule commenced proceedings against M Liporoni in the Supreme Court but found that the Supreme Court was the wrong jurisdiction. The applicant lost in that jurisdiction. Subsequently the applicant commenced proceedings in the Land and Environment Court.

Financial Impact Statement

Council has incurred costs in the Supreme Court proceedings exceeding \$60,000. Council was successful therefore part of the costs may be recovered from the applicant. However the cost of the Land and Environment may be a further \$140,000.

This matter is a Class 4 proceedings in the Land and Environment Court, therefore the Court may order costs. Depending on the result, the costs, including cost in the Supreme Court, could be in the range of \$50,000 to \$200,000.

RECOMMENDATION

Council defend the Class 4 Proceedings.

CS.147**86.00****NUCLEAR FREE ZONES – REQUEST FOR ACTION AGAINST NUCLEAR WEAPONS**

PROGRAM: ADMINISTRATION

Introduction

The Australian Peace Committee has advised Council that Prime Minister John Howard will be meeting US President George Bush in Washington on 10 September 2001. The Committee believes there are a number of important issues connected with nuclear disarmament that need to be emphasized by all US allies, and particularly by Australia.

Background

In 1984 Council resolved to declare that the whole of the City of Gosford become a nuclear free zone and later also became an affiliate with the Australian Nuclear Free Zones Secretariat.

In 1997 Council resolved to consent to the request of the Central Coast Peace Forum to include Gosford City Council's name in the list of local authorities endorsing the Abolition 2000 Resolution calling for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons to be signed and in place by the year 2000.

In 1998 Council resolved, as a campaign supporter, to send a message of greetings and support for the ban and elimination of all nuclear weapons.

CS.147 CONTD

The 1996 Canberra Commission on the elimination of Nuclear Weapons concluded with the statement that “The proposition that nuclear weapons can be retained in perpetuity and never be used – accidentally or by decision – defies credibility” and that “the only complete defence is the elimination of nuclear weapons and assurance that they will never be produced again”.

In 2000 Council resolved to request the Australian Government to take a strong position to ban and remove all nuclear weapons at the Review Conference of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty in New York.

Gosford is one of the Australian local governments who have endorsed the Abolition 2000 Resolution for Local Authorities in the “Save Our Cities” campaign.

Current Situation

The Australian Peace Committee has written to Council as follows:

“We are writing to you as both local Councils and State bodies, which are deeply involved in trying to achieve a just society for Australians (and indeed the world). We know that justice and peace must go together if people are to live decent lives. We know you care about this, because you endorsed the Abolition 2000 Resolution for Local Authorities - calling for the elimination of nuclear weapons.

With PM Howard due to visit President Bush on 10 September we believe that it is **urgent** that he receive the following message (Attachment) - signed by a wide range of concerned groups and politicians.

In particular we would like to see Councils as signatories to the letter. We therefore ask you to give urgent consideration to adding your name to it.

To sign on, send an email to John Hallam at Friends of the Earth - Sydney. He is coordinating the letter and will be pleased to add your name to it. Please send him your name, your position in the Council or State body, and the name of same.

Send the email to: <nonukes@foesyd.org.au> as soon as possible.

Thank you for your help in getting this important message to John Howard.”

Financial Impact Statement

The recommendation does not impact upon Council's financial position.

RECOMMENDATION

- A Council support the Australian Peace Committee's request for it to become a signatory to the letter being sent to Prime Minister John Howard, prior to his visit with US President George Bush in Washington on 10 September 2001.
- B Council send an email to the coordinator, Mr John Hallam, with a request to add the name of Gosford City Council as a signatory to the letter.

ATTACHMENT TO CS.147

TO: PRIME MINISTER JOHN HOWARD, 9251-5454, 6273-4100

C/C:

Foreign Minister, the Hon. Alexander Downer, 6273-4112 08-8370-8166

Defence Minister, the Hon. Peter Reith, 6273-4118, 03-5979-3034

Kim Beazley, 6277-8495, 08-9592-1361

Laurie Brereton, 6277-8502, 9349-8089

Steven Martin, 4285-1132, 6277-8596

RE: SEPT. 10 WASHINGTON TRIP, MISSILE DEFENCE, NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT

Dear Prime Minister Howard,

The undersigned groups are writing to you with respect to your coming trip to Washington. We understand that you will be meeting with US President George Bush on 10 September.

There are a number of important issues connected with nuclear disarmament that need to be emphasized by all US allies, and particularly by Australia. In our view, these issues have at least the priority that you seem to be giving to trade issues, important though these may be.

It is vital that Australia draw the attention of the US administration to the necessity of proceeding with and truly implementing the final declaration of the year 2000 NPT Review Conference, which commits the nuclear weapon states to the total and unequivocal elimination of their nuclear arsenals. Australia has welcomed this declaration and has, along with the other 186 signatory nations to the NPT, committed to an action plan for achieving this goal.

It is crucial for global security that no steps should be taken by any nuclear weapons state that might impede or possibly even reverse progress toward the implementation of that legally binding goal.

Rather, the US must be encouraged to proceed with deep cuts to nuclear weapon numbers and the lowering of alert status of nuclear weapons systems either by negotiations under the START-III process or else by other means. President Bush has spoken of the necessity of doing this, but we believe that it is terribly important that he be further urged to proceed with these measures, as a means toward the total and unequivocal elimination of all nuclear weapons in accordance with the legal obligations of Article VI of the NPT.

Another area in which we would hope that representations could be made to the current US administration is in the area of ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT), with which Australia has an honorable association. The US must be urged at every possible opportunity to ratify this treaty. Without US ratification much of the force of this bulwark against further nuclear testing will be lost. We note that the second conference on the entry into force of the CTBT takes place on September 25-27, not long after your proposed visit.

We are firmly convinced that in supporting the US Missile Defence proposal, the Australian Federal Government is making a terrible error. The government must reflect the views of most Australian citizens over the impact that the development of a so-called 'missile defence' system may have on the achievement of the total and unequivocal elimination of nuclear weapons, and over the very real possibility that such a system could re-ignite a global nuclear arms race.

ATTACHMENT TO CS.147 CONTD

We respectfully request that you reconsider your current stance on Missile Defence and convey to President Bush and Defence Secretary Rumsfeld that Australia will not support Missile Defence diplomatically, and that Australian/joint facilities and Australian soil are not available for any purpose that contravenes or might contravene the ABM treaty, the CTBT, or the NPT.

As you will be aware, 610 organizations and parliamentarians recently wrote to President Bush, arguing that reductions in warhead numbers and the alert status of nuclear weapons are vital to global security. The letter also stated that missile defence should not proceed because it interferes with those goals - goals to which I believe your own government is also strongly committed.

Your attention is brought to that letter, faxed to your office on 10 June. Australia has at times played a constructive role in moves toward the total and unequivocal elimination of nuclear weapons. More than 90% of Australians think it needs to do more toward this goal.

Accordingly we urge you to make clear to President Bush that the elimination of nuclear weapons and the deep cuts and reductions in alert status promised in his own election campaign as a means to move toward total and unequivocal elimination of nuclear weapons, are the measures he is encouraged to take. The abrogation or modification of the ABM treaty must not be made a condition for the pursuit of these measures.

The deployment of a missile defence system and the dismantling of the ABM treaty may put these vital goals into question or worse still, ignite another global nuclear arms race.

Australia should therefore refuse to support such moves by the United States.

Yours Sincerely,

CS.148 60.02.00
REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO LODGE A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -
TERRIGAL ROTARY HALL EXTENSIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS
PROGRAM: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Background

A request is made that approval be granted by Council to lodge a Development Application to allow extensions and improvements to Terrigal Rotary Hall and grounds. These extensions and improvements will increase the hall's accessibility, and reduce the negative impact of hall use on neighbouring houses. They include a new terrace and front entry, extending the area of the hall, the provision of a toilet that is accessible to disabled people, and a new carpark.

Funding for the extensions and improvements is available from a combination of Rotary funds and a Council Accessible Building Grant. Funds for the carpark are still being sought.

An additional request is made for any fees associated with the lodgement of the Development Application to be waived.

CS.148 CONTD

Financial Impact Statement

Council will forgo the Development Application fees which would otherwise be paid as a result of this application.

RECOMMENDATION

- A Approval be granted for the lodgement of a Development Application in relation to extensions and improvements to Terrigal Rotary Hall and grounds.
- B Development Application fees be waived and be recorded as a donation in Council's accounts.

CS.149

330.05.00**REQUEST FOR APPROVAL TO LODGE A DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION -
REFURBISHMENT OF COMMUNITY CENTRE AND GROUNDS,
HENRY WHEELER PLACE, GOSFORD**

PROGRAM: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Background

A request is made that approval be granted by Council to lodge a Development Application to allow refurbishment of the Community Centre and grounds referred to above. This refurbishment will allow the Centre to provide an improved service for dementia clients, a service that has operated from Geoff Wright Cottage. Geoff Wright Cottage is to be used to accommodate Gosford Family Support Service.

Although much of the refurbishment involves moving partitions and so does not involve structural changes, a Development Application is required for two aspects, the changing of a window to a doorway, and the erection of a fence to ensure the safety of dementia clients.

Community Care Services - Central Coast Incorporated (previously Gosford City Community Information Service Inc.) manages the Community Centre and has funds available for the refurbishment. Funding has been received from the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Health and Aged Care. Additional funding has been requested from the Ageing and Disability Department, and a favourable response is expected.

An additional request is made for any fees associated with the lodgement of the Development Application to be waived.

Financial Impact Statement

Council will forgo the Development Application fees which would otherwise be paid as a result of this application.

CS.149 CONTD

RECOMMENDATION

- A Approval be granted for the lodgement of a Development Application in relation to refurbishment of Community Centre and grounds, Henry Wheeler Place, Gosford.
- B Development Application fees be waived and be recorded as a donation in Council's accounts.

CS.150**320.35.00****UMINA CHILD CARE CENTRE – EMPLOYMENT OF A PERMANENT PART TIME STAFF POSITION**

PROGRAM: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Background

Umina Child Care Centre received funding through the Department of Community Services (DoCS) for building modifications and equipment to extend the Centre by an additional 10 baby places. Umina Child Care Centre has recently completed these extensions. Three additional staff were employed in January 2001 to meet required staff:child ratios.

Present Position

The increase in the number of permanent staff will require an additional permanent part time staff member to ensure that the Department of Community Services staff:child ratios are maintained whilst staff are absent from the service. The Centre now employs 14 staff members with 9 of these entitled to an RDO per month. In addition the increase in full time staff will require the Centre to replace staff on more occasions for annual leave and sick leave.

The process of employing a permanent part time staff person on this basis is unique to Umina Child Care Centre due to the Centre extensions. Investigations within our other Child Care Centres will be conducted to determine if similar appointments will be feasible.

Financial Impact Statement

The increased costs incurred by the creation of the new position will be covered by the Centre budget. Utilising a permanent part time staff member is more cost effective for the Centre budget than utilising relief staff.

The recommendation does not impact upon Council's financial position.

CS.150 CONTD

RECOMMENDATION

- A Council support the creation of a new permanent part time staff position to cater for the additional staffing demands placed upon the Centre thus ensuring that the Department of Community Services staff:child ratios are maintained.
- B The permanent part time position be for a minimum of 20 hours per week with the opportunity of additional hours as required up to a 38 hour week.

CS.151

477.01
KINCUMBER BRANCH LIBRARY
PROGRAM: LIBRARIES

Council at its meeting on 5 June 2001 approved the development of a Branch Library at Kincumber and resolved to proceed, in the interim, with the establishment of a temporary library in the front of the Multi-Purpose Centre at Kincumber. A funding source was not identified in the Council resolution.

Council now needs to approve the hours of opening which will impact upon the number of staff and their hours of employment.

Opening hours of 10.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday would be consistent with the other branches. The hours for the permanent library will be considered once the usage of the temporary library is known. Opening 9.00 am to 4.00 pm on Saturdays, as per the other Gosford branch libraries, should be sufficient for weekend customers.

Staffing to cover these hours for Kincumber Branch Library will require the following:

One (1) full time Branch Librarian;
One (1) full time Library Technician;
Library Assistants to work a total of 17 hours per week.

As it was not anticipated that the Kincumber Branch be built so soon, funds were not allocated in the three year budget for staffing. However, given Council's decision to establish the Kincumber Branch Library, permanent positions should be established.

As the permanent library will be larger, it is anticipated that at least four (4) full time positions will be required to staff it, with extra assistants on weekends, depending upon the hours of opening.

Financial Impact Statement

The extra cost in opening this temporary branch library will be:

Salaries	\$82,000
Utilities (electricity, cleaning etc)	\$3,000
Rent	\$5,000
Computer leasing (per annum)	\$10,000

CS.151 CONTD

Photocopiers will pay for themselves.

This expenditure equals \$100,000 per annum.

As funds have not been allocated by Council for this temporary library in the 2001/02 budget, it will be necessary for the Library Services Manager to identify savings for this additional service.

However, if insufficient savings are identified, it may be necessary later in the financial year for additional funds to be sought from Council.

RECOMMENDATION

- A The temporary library at Kincumber be open from 10.00 am to 5.00 pm on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, 1.00 pm to 5.00 pm on Wednesday and 9.00 am to 4.00 pm on Saturday.
- B Permanent positions of Librarian and Library Technician be created.
- C Library assistants be employed temporarily for 17 hours per week.
- D A further report on the funding of this temporary branch library be submitted to Council during February 2002.

CS.152

PP 2099**COMPARISON BETWEEN A HOTELIER'S LICENCE AND A DINE OR DRINK AUTHORITY**

PROGRAM: LEGAL SERVICES

Background

At its meeting on the 10 July 2001, Council resolved:

- A Council's Solicitors be requested to continue to object to the issue of a Hoteliers licence until the Council considers the development application for a hotel that has been lodged with Council.
- B Council seek clarification of the difference between an application for a 'Dine or Drink Authority' and an application for a 'final grant of a Hotelier's Licence'.

CS.152 CONTD

With respect to B the following outlines what each allows:

<i>Dine or Drink Authority</i>	<i>Hotelier's Licence</i>
<p>Primary Purpose of premises: A Restaurant</p> <p>The Authority permits: <i>An existing or proposed on-licence restaurant to allocate up to 30% of the seated dining positions within the restaurant to persons who wish to drink liquor without a meal.</i></p> <p>That is 30% of the patrons can drink alcohol without eating.</p> <p>The other 70% must have a meal to be served alcohol.</p>	<p>Primary Purpose of premises: The retail sale of Liquor.</p> <p>The Licence permits the Licensee to: <i>Sell liquor for consumption on or off the premises;</i></p> <p><i>Operate up to 30 gaming machines; and</i></p> <p><i>With the Courts approval</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i trade up to 24 hours a day;</i> <i>ii remove the licence to other locations; and</i> <i>iii sell liquor at functions away from the premises.</i> <i>iv dispense or modify the need to provide hotel accommodation.</i> <p><i>Standard Trading Hours 5.00 am – midnight Mon to Sat, 10.00 am – 10.00 pm Sunday and noon – 10.00 pm Christmas day & Good Friday.</i></p> <p><i>A light meal must be available when liquor is sold or supplied.</i></p>
<p><u>Application Requirements</u></p> <p>Local Consent Authority (Council) to be served with copy of application 14 days before hearing date.</p> <p>Must be advertised in newspapers 14 days before Hearing date for public.</p> <p>Objections can be lodged with Court up to 3 days before Hearing date.</p>	<p><u>Final Grant Application Requirements</u></p> <p>- A final grant of the hotelier's licence can be made upon substantial completion of the premises. It does not have to follow a conditional application.</p> <p>The application must be advertised at least 14 days before the hearing date.</p>

CS.152 CONTD

<i>Dine or Drink Authority</i>	<i>Hotelier's Licence</i>
<p><u>Applicant must Satisfy the Licensing Court of the following:</u></p> <p>No undue disturbance on the quiet and good order of the neighbourhood of premises.</p> <p>The sale, supply and service of liquor are done responsibly and steps are in place to prevent intoxication on the premises.</p> <p>The premises operate consistently with the primary purpose as a Restaurant.</p> <p>The premises have proper facilities for the primary restaurant purpose and the sale, supply and service of liquor.</p> <p>Practices are in place to ensure that only 30% of the seated dining positions will be allocated to non-dining patrons.</p>	<p><u>Applicant must Satisfy the Licensing Court of the following:</u></p> <p>The licensee must ensure the conduct of patrons and hotel does not disturb the quiet and good conduct of neighbourhood.</p> <p>The applicant must satisfy the Court that there are no existing licences available at a reasonable market price, which could be transferred to the premises.</p> <p>Provide confirmation that the premises were completed according to the approved plans lodged.</p> <p>If entertainment to be provided then the Council approval to use the premises for that purpose.</p> <p>Applicant must have requisite experience to have a licence otherwise must do a course of instruction.</p> <p>Person must be deemed fit to have a licence.</p>
<p>Cost of Dine or Drink Authority</p> <p>Application Lodgment fee \$50.00</p> <p>100 or less seating \$10,000</p> <p>100 or more seating \$15,000</p> <p>No annual fees</p> <p>Can be paid in instalments over 12 months.</p> <p>Authority operates from payment of 1st instalment.</p> <p>No Gaming Machines</p>	<p>Cost of Hotelier's Licence</p> <p>Lodgment Fee \$500.00</p> <p>The lodgement fee is deducted from the fee for the licence if granted by the Court.</p> <p>The Liquor Administration Board determines the fee on the merits of each application.</p> <p>The Merits include the location, size of premises, cost of other licences' in area.</p> <p>Legal advice is recommended because of the cost of the licence.</p>

CS.152 CONTD

<i>Dine or Drink Authority</i>	<i>Hotelier's Licence</i>
	<p>Gaming Machines Must supply social impact statement with application,</p> <p>Where greater than 15 gaming machines to operate, a permit must be purchased for each machine up to the limit of 30 from other hotels.</p>

Financial Impact Statement

The recommendation does not impact upon Council's financial position.

RECOMMENDATION

The information be noted.

CS.153**14.00.00****BUDGET REVIEW – JUNE 2001**

PROGRAM: FINANCE

A GENERAL FUND

The June 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds deficit as at 30 June 2001 is \$471,886. Proposed variations within this Budget Review result in decreasing the deficit by \$11,495. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included in the budget is \$34,001,050. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

\$

Depreciation not included

Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per May Review	483,381
Less adjustments decreasing the Deficit this review	11,495
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001	471,886

Depreciation included

Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001	34,484,431
Less adjustments decreasing the Deficit this review	11,495
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 (includes depreciation)	34,472,936

CS.153 CONTD

The forecast deficit does not include the over expenditure incurred on Northpower Stadium. Council has already resolved to fund this deficit over the budget years 2001/2002 to 2003/04 and the strategy to achieve this has been included in the City Management Plan.

Proposed Variation to Budget

Contained within the attached budget papers are all items of income and expenditure proposed for variation.

The major variations are as follows:-

	Account	Description	Effect on Working Funds	
			Increase	Decrease
1	C3001.651	Human Resources-OH&S	48,500	
2	C5390.399	Wyoming Community Centre		23,105

Details of the major variations are as follows:-

1 Human Resources OH&S

There have been no extraordinary events this year. This money is therefore no longer required for 2000/01.

2 Wyoming Community Centre

Completion of the carpark at Wyoming Community Centre in conjunction with the extension of the centre.

Responsible Accounting Officers Report

The Local Government Financial Management Regulations requires the Responsible Accounting Officer (Director-Corporate Community Services) report at the end of each quarter as to whether he believes that the financial position of the Council is satisfactory, having regard to the original estimates of income and expenditure. If the position is unsatisfactory, the Responsible Accounting Officer must make recommendations for remedial action.

The financial position of the General Fund is not considered to be satisfactory at this time. Apart from the estimated Working Funds deficit of \$471,886 to 30 June 2001, Council has brought to account the additional cost to Northpower Stadium (some \$4M).

Council has adopted a plan to eliminate the Northpower Stadium deficit by 30 June 2004, however the continuing working funds deficit of \$471,886 must be addressed if such continues to increase. Council must therefore be vigilant of expenditure without matching revenue.

CS.153 CONTD

Council is fortunate that additional rates commencing 2001/02 of some \$560,000 has been made available and Council has resolved in the first year to eliminate the above deficit. A balanced budget, it is considered, must be one of Councils priorities.

B SEWERAGE FUND

The June 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds surplus as at 30 June 2001 is \$2,180,570. Proposed variations within this Budget Review result in increasing the surplus by \$21,599. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$15,868,016. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001	2,158,971
Plus adjustments increasing the Surplus in this review	21,599
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001	2,180,570
Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001	13,709,045
Plus adjustments decreasing the Deficit in this review	21,599
Estimated Deficit as to 30 June 2001	13,687,446

Proposed Variation to Budget

Contained within the attached budget papers are all items of income and expenditure proposed for variation.

The major variations are as follows:-

	Account	Description	Effect on Working Funds	
			Increase	Decrease
1	S8001.716	Sewer Mgmt-Corporate Costs		100,901
2	S8001.010	Sewer-Annual Charges	122,500	

CS.153 CONTD

Details of the major variation are as follows:-

- 1 Sewer Management-Corporate Costs**
Actual Corporate Costs payable greater than original budget.
- 2 Sewer – Annual Charges**
Original budget under estimated.

Responsible Accounting Officers Report

The Local Government Financial Management Regulations requires the Responsible Accounting Officer (Director-Corporate Community Services) report at the end of each quarter as to whether he believes that the financial position of the Council is satisfactory, having regard to the original estimates of income and expenditure. If the position is unsatisfactory, the Responsible Accounting Officer must make recommendations for remedial action.

The financial position of the Sewerage Fund is considered to be satisfactory at this time.

C WATER FUND

The June 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds surplus as at 30 June 2001 is \$2,358,387. Proposed variations within this Budget Review result in increasing the surplus by \$1,396,057. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$11,981,200. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001	962,330
Plus adjustments increasing the Surplus in this review	1,396,057
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001	2,358,387
 Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001	11,018,870
Plus adjustments decreasing the Deficit in this review	1,396,057
Estimated Deficit as to 30 June 2001	9,622,813

CS.153 CONTD

Proposed Variation to Budget

Contained within the attached budget papers are all items of income and expenditure proposed for variation.

The major variations are as follows:-

Account	Description	Effect on Working Funds	
		Increase	Decrease
1	W8001.716	Water Mgmt-Corporate Costs	120,943
2	W8001.007	Annual Water Charges	150,000
3	W8001.011	Water Consumption Charges	1,367,000

1 Water Management-Corporate Costs

Actual Corporate Costs payable greater than original budget.

2 Annual Water Charges

Original budget under estimated together with additional assessments.

3 Water Consumption Charges

Lack of historical data with new pay for use water billing system together with varying weather conditions have resulted in more income than originally anticipated

Responsible Accounting Officers Report

The Local Government Financial Management Regulations requires the Responsible Accounting Officer (Director-Corporate Community Services) report at the end of each quarter as to whether he believes that the financial position of the Council is satisfactory, having regard to the original estimates of income and expenditure. If the position is unsatisfactory, the Responsible Accounting Officer must make recommendations for remedial action.

The financial position of the Water Fund is considered to be satisfactory at this time.

D GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND

There are no proposed changes in this review and the forecast working funds position of the Parking Fund as at 30 June 2001 is a surplus of \$18,000. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$610,304. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

CS.153 CONTD

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001	18,000
Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001	592,304

Responsible Accounting Officers Report

The Local Government Financial Management Regulations requires the Responsible Accounting Officer (Director-Corporate Community Services) report at the end of each quarter as to whether he believes that the financial position of the Council is satisfactory, having regard to the original estimates of income and expenditure. If the position is unsatisfactory, the Responsible Accounting Officer must make recommendations for remedial action.

The financial position of the Gosford Parking and CBD Improvement Fund is considered to be satisfactory at this time.

Financial Impact Statement

As per Fund Summaries attached.

RECOMMENDATION

- A **General Fund**
The proposed variations within the budget review be adopted.
- B **Sewerage Fund**
The proposed variations within the budget review be adopted.
- C **Water Fund**
The proposed variations within the budget review be adopted.
- D **Gosford Parking and CBD Improvement Fund**
The information be noted.

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153

FUND SUMMARY-GENERAL FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(147,107,638)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	159,252,519	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	12,144,881	DEFICIT
WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2000 Excluding the effect of Northpower Stadium	14,590,508	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	7,749,042	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001	34,484,431	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/ MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	11,495	SURPLUS
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	34,472,936	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1033 21/08/2001 13:01:24MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
114% of year elapsedReport Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 1
Posting Year: 2001

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 1 General Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
:OPERATING COSTS									
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	31387739	343245	-1423606	30307378	26285008	86.7%	4022370	30307378	0
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	8532392	1241561	3043161	12817114	13394038	104.5%	-576924	12767114	-50000
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	5277931	31959	1080090	6389980	6272477	98.1%	117503	6389980	0
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	6100711	49596	528114	6678421	7036939	105.3%	-358518	6678421	0
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	8680991	51000	308000	9039991	2262923	25.0%	6777069	9039991	0
H HEALTH	14645519	1852454	6844422	23342395	23403276	100.2%	-60881	23342395	0
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	653443	90114	101886	845443	676679	80.0%	168764	845443	0
P PRIVATE WORKS	120000	64193	12000	196193	382526	194.9%	-186333	196193	0
R RECREATION	6688130	125243	2158162	8971535	9786808	109.0%	-815273	8971535	0
T TRANSPORT	22895420	1315077	1160480	25370977	27376141	107.9%	-2005164	25370977	0
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	9833050	0	12730	9845780	3532030	35.8%	6313750	9845780	0

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	114815326	5164442	13825439	133805207	120408845	89.9%	13396362	133755207	-50000
:OPERATING INCOME									
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	-66202064	-1371722	-3742877	-71316663	-71270285	99.9%	-46378	-71316663	0
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	-972373	-255324	-1624365	-2852062	-2570679	90.1%	-281383	-2852062	0
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	-2407000	0	-72489	-2479489	-2619746	105.6%	140257	-2479489	0
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	-1671723	-269512	-280774	-2222009	-855471	38.5%	-1366538	-2222009	0
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	-8680991	-51000	-1268357	-10000348	-4822966	48.2%	-5177382	-10000348	0
H HEALTH	-12264966	0	-7728037	-19993003	-19803881	99.0%	-189122	-19993003	0
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	-659389	-56142	-99714	-815245	-721504	88.5%	-93741	-815245	0
P PRIVATE WORKS	-120000	-63279	-18000	-201279	-520597	258.6%	319318	-201279	0
R RECREATION	-684686	0	-442973	-1127659	-1101976	97.7%	-25683	-1127659	0
T TRANSPORT	-3544993	-616828	-1518009	-5679830	-5219837	91.9%	-459993	-5679830	0
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-9833050	0	-12730	-9845780	-7800312	79.2%	-2045468	-9845780	0

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-107041235	-2683807	-16808325	-126533367	-117307254	92.7%	-9226113	-126533367	0

** TOTAL OPERATING	7774091	2480635	-2982886	7271840	3101591	42.6%	4170249	7221840	-50000

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:01:24

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 2
Posting Year: 2001

SELECTION IS :- Reference = "JUN 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 1 General Fund (continued)

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	325500	2527635	1291285	4144420	2427526	58.5%	1716894	4144420	0	
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	3314500	6543930	-4578229	5280201	1663486	31.5%	3616715	5303306	23105	
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	78600	113077	304	191981	79202	41.2%	112779	191981	0	
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	4289188	2783077	829875	7902140	4648111	58.8%	3254029	7909140	7000	
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	24005	47558778	12739931	60322714	12944254	21.4%	47378460	60322714	0	
H HEALTH	1013500	975915	337127	2326542	1371034	58.9%	955508	2326542	0	
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	2003!!!!!!!!!!!!		-2003	0	0	
P PRIVATE WORKS	0	0	6000	6000	0	0.0%	6000	6000	0	
R RECREATION	2078400	2968061	-983947	4062514	1086233	26.7%	2976281	4062514	0	
T TRANSPORT	7195000	6020652	3565180	16780832	14498690	86.4%	2282142	16789232	8400	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	8646!!!!!!!!!!!!		-8646	0	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	18318693	69491125	13207526	101017344	38729185	38.3%	62288159	101055849	38505	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	0	-653740	-1003408	-1657148	-1196299	72.1%	-460849	-1657148	0	
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	-2950000	-5856623	4215735	-4590888	-397639	8.6%	-4193249	-4590888	0	
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	0	0	0	0	-3617369!!!!!!!!!!!!		3617369	0	0	
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	-3625188	-1968449	-621617	-6215254	-3902905	62.8%	-2312349	-6215254	0	
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	-24005	-47558778	-11779574	-59362357	-1578846	2.6%	-57783511	-59362357	0	
H HEALTH	-971500	-1177093	587608	-1560985	-1989864	127.4%	428879	-1560985	0	
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	1086!!!!!!!!!!!!		-1086	0	0	
R RECREATION	-1792400	-4015764	443124	-5365040	-1793402	33.4%	-3571638	-5365040	0	
T TRANSPORT	-4866000	-2992271	-1785318	-9643589	-6229507	64.6%	-3414082	-9643589	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-14229093	-64222718	-9943450	-88395261	-20704745	23.4%	-67690516	-88395261	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	4089600	5268407	3264076	12622083	18024440	142.8%	-5402357	12660588	38505	
Net Cost For Fund	: 1	GENERAL FUND								
		11863691	7749042	281190	19893923	21126031	106.1%	-1232108	19882428	-11495

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-WATER FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(19,672,584)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	23,384,593	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	3,712,009	DEFICIT
WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2000	5,951,560	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	1,355,301	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001	11,018,870	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/ MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	1,396,057	SURPLUS
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	9,622,813	DEFICIT

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:04:09

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 3
Posting Year: 2001

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 3 Water Supply Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	1364000	0	0	1364000	391267	28.6%	972733	1364000	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	19030048	505863	749020	20284931	17406606	85.8%	2878325	20405874	120943	

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	20394048	505863	749020	21648931	17797872	82.2%	3851059	21769874	120943	
:OPERATING INCOME										
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-1364000	0	0	-1364000	-173336	12.7%	-1190664	-1364000	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	-17418011	0	1073057	-16344954	-17006776	104.0%	661822	-17861954	-1517000	

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-18782011	0	1073057	-17708954	-17180111	97.0%	-528843	-19225954	-1517000	

** TOTAL OPERATING	1612037	505863	1822077	3939977	617761	15.6%	3322216	2543920	-1396057	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
S SEWERAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	1920700	904438	320825	3145963	1748130	55.5%	1397833	3145963	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	1920700	904438	320825	3145963	1748130	55.5%	1397833	3145963	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
W WATER SUPPLY	-1571900	-55000	-391730	-2018630	-1200748	59.4%	-817882	-2018630	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-1571900	-55000	-391730	-2018630	-1200748	59.4%	-817882	-2018630	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL	348800	849438	-70905	1127333	547381	48.5%	579952	1127333	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 3	WATER SUPPLY FUND								
		1960837	1355301	1751172	5067310	1165142	22.9%	3902168	3671253	-1396057
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-SEWERAGE FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(37,622,930)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	43,821,949	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	6,199,109	DEFICIT
WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2000	6,162,828	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	1,347,198	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001	13,709,045	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/ MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATION	21,599	SURPLUS
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	13,687,446	DEFICIT

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:04:18MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
114% of year elapsedReport Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 4
Posting Year: 2001

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 4 Sewerage Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
S SEWERAGE	33641407	480861	614306	34736574	22429824	64.5%	12306750	34837475	100901	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	1574300	0	7700	1582000	322584	20.3%	1259416	1582000	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	35215707	480861	622006	36318574	22752408	62.6%	13566166	36419475	100901	
:OPERATING INCOME										
S SEWERAGE	-29669575	0	1552465	-28117110	-26983869	95.9%	-1133241	-28239610	-122500	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-1574300	0	-7700	-1582000	-308220	19.4%	-1273780	-1582000	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-31243875	0	1544765	-29699110	-27292089	91.9%	-2407021	-29821610	-122500	

** TOTAL OPERATING	3971832	480861	2166771	6619464	-4539681	-68.5%	11159145	6597865	-21599	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
S SEWERAGE	7819400	1389913	164836	9374149	1054400	11.2%	8319749	9374149	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	7819400	1389913	164836	9374149	1054400	11.2%	8319749	9374149	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
S SEWERAGE	-7672100	-523576	-251720	-8447396	-1617673	19.1%	-6829723	-8447396	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-7672100	-523576	-251720	-8447396	-1617673	19.1%	-6829723	-8447396	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL	147300	866337	-86884	926753	-563274	-60.7%	1490027	926753	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 4	SEWERAGE FUND								
		4119132	1347198	2079887	7546217	-5102954	-67.6%	12649171	7524618	-21599
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(804,338)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	1,008,090	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	203,752	DEFICIT
WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2000	388,552	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001	592,304	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2001 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	592,304	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:04:26

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 5
Posting Year: 2001

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 5 Gosford Parking and CBD Improvement Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
T TRANSPORT	570387	0	54453	624840	654454	104.7%	-29614	624840	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	570387	0	54453	624840	654454	104.7%	-29614	624840	0	
:OPERATING INCOME										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
T TRANSPORT	-366635	0	-54453	-421088	-420769	99.9%	-319	-421088	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-366635	0	-54453	-421088	-420769	99.9%	-319	-421088	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING	203752	0	0	203752	233684	114.6%	-29932	203752	0	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	317250	887852	0	1205102	298441	24.7%	906661	1205102	0	
T TRANSPORT	0	224087	66000	290087	253427	87.3%	36660	290087	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	317250	1111939	66000	1495189	551868	36.9%	943321	1495189	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	-317250	-887852	0	-1205102	-318213	26.4%	-886889	-1205102	0	
T TRANSPORT	0	-224087	-66000	-290087	-252859	87.1%	-37228	-290087	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-317250	-1111939	-66000	-1495189	-571072	38.1%	-924117	-1495189	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	0	0	0	0	-19204!!!!!!!!!!!!		19204	0	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 5	GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND								
		203752	0	0	203752	214481	105.2%	-10729	203752	0
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl032 21/08/2001 12:53:43

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 1
Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :C COMMUNITY FACILITIES
Major Activity :3 HUMAN RESOURCES

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:OPERATING COSTS					
C3001.651	HUMAN RESOURCES-O H & S	172507	124007	-48500	ORIGINAL BUDGET OVER ESTIMATED
C3001.654	HUMAN RESOURCES-EEO	254222	252722	-1500	ORIGINAL BUDGET OVER ESTIMATED
		-----	-----	-----	
007	HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	426729	376729	-50000	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS		426729	376729	-50000	
** TOTAL OPERATING		426729	376729	-50000	
<hr/>					
Net Cost For Major Activity : 3 HUMAN RESOURCES		426729	376729	-50000	

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl032 21/08/2001 12:53:53

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 2
Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :C COMMUNITY FACILITIES
Major Activity :5 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE					
C5390.399	99/00 CWP WYOMING COMMUNITY C-EXTEN CARPARK	157000	180105	23105	INCREASE IN CONSTRUCTION COSTS PLUS
022	CAPITAL WORKS PROJECTS	157000	180105	23105	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE		157000	180105	23105	
** TOTAL CAPITAL		157000	180105	23105	
<hr/>					
Net Cost For Major Activity : 5 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT		157000	180105	23105	

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1032 21/08/2001 12:53:58

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 3
Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
Major Activity :0 LAKES WATERWAYS & THE COASTLINE

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE					
E0001.875	RELEASE OF TERRIGAL LAKE	7732	14732	7000	ADDITIONAL COSTS IN OPENING TERRIGAL LAKE
		-----	-----	-----	
003	OPERATIONS	7732	14732	7000	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE					
		7732	14732	7000	
** TOTAL CAPITAL					
		7732	14732	7000	
Net Cost For Major Activity : 0 LAKES WATERWAYS & THE COASTLINE					
		7732	14732	7000	

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
 r_g1032 21/08/2001 12:54:53

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
 114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 4
 Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :S SEWERAGE
 Major Activity :8 SEWERAGE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:OPERATING COSTS					
S8001.716	SEWER PROG MGMNT-PROGRAM MANAGEMENT COSTS	4817278	4918179	100901	INCREASE IN ACTUAL'S FOR CORPORATE COSTS
009	GENERAL MANAGEMENT	4817278	4918179	100901	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS		4817278	4918179	100901	
:OPERATING INCOME					
S8001.010	SEWER PROG MGMNT-ANNUAL CHGS:OTHER	-23400000	-23522500	-122500	ORIGINAL BUDGET UNDER ESTIMATED
001	REVENUE	-23400000	-23522500	-122500	
** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME		-23400000	-23522500	-122500	
** TOTAL OPERATING		-18582722	-18604321	-21599	
Net Cost For Major Activity : 8 SEWERAGE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					
		18582722	-18604321	-21599	

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1032 21/08/2001 12:56:21

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 5
Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :T TRANSPORT
Major Activity :8 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE					
T8060.665	OH&S -WAGES	1499	9899	8400	T PROG OH&S COMMITTEE COSTS
022	CAPITAL WORKS PROJECTS	1499	9899	8400	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE		1499	9899	8400	
** TOTAL CAPITAL		1499	9899	8400	
<hr/>					
Net Cost For Major Activity : 8 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES		1499	9899	8400	

ATTACHMENT TO CS.153 CONTD

Gosford City Council
 r_g1032 21/08/2001 12:56:28

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
 114% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 6
 Posting Year: 2001

Transaction Ref ="JUN 2001 REVIEW"

Program :W WATER SUPPLY
 Major Activity :8 WATER PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:OPERATING COSTS					
W8001.716	WATER PROG MGMNT-PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	4874577	4995520	120943	INCREASE IN ACTUAL'S FOR CORPORATE COSTS
		-----	-----	-----	
009	GENERAL MANAGEMENT	4874577	4995520	120943	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS					
		4874577	4995520	120943	
:OPERATING INCOME					
W8001.007	WATER PROG MGMNT-ANNUAL CHGS:WATER	-4800000	-4950000	-150000	ORIGINAL BUDGET UNDER ESTIMATED
W8001.011	WATER PROG MGMNT-USER CHGS:WATER SU	-9000000	-10367000	-1367000	WATER CONSUMPTION GREATER THAN ANTICIPATED
		-----	-----	-----	
001	REVENUE	-13800000	-15317000	-1517000	
** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME					
		-13800000	-15317000	-1517000	
** TOTAL OPERATING					
		-8925423	-10321480	-1396057	
Net Cost For Major Activity : 8 WATER PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					
		8925423	-10321480	-1396057	

CS.154 14.00.00
BUDGET REVIEW – JULY 2001
PROGRAM: FINANCE

A GENERAL FUND

The July 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds surplus as at 30 June 2002 is \$85,700. Proposed variations within this Budget Review result in decreasing the surplus by \$6,780. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included in the budget is \$45,772,562. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:- \$

Depreciation not included

Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	471,886
Estimated Surplus as per Original 2001/02 Budget	564,366
Less adjustments decreasing the Surplus in this review	6,780
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2002	85,700

Depreciation included

Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	34,472,936
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	11,207,146
Plus adjustments increasing the Deficit this review	6,780
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2002 (includes depreciation)	45,686,862

The forecast deficit does not include the over expenditure incurred on Northpower Stadium, nor the annual repayment factored into the current budget. Council has already resolved to fund this deficit over the budget years 2001/2002 to 2003/04 and the strategy to achieve this has been included in the City Management Plan.

Proposed Variation to Budget

Contained within the attached budget papers are all items of income and expenditure proposed for variation.

The major variations are as follows:-

	Account	Description	Effect on Working Funds	
			Increase	Decrease
1	A4001.101	Trading Undertakings-NPSC		6,780

CS.154 CONTD

Details of the major variations are as follows -

1 Trading Undertakings-Niagara Park Shopping Centre

Running costs of the new vehicle for the caretaker at Niagara Park. The vehicle had not been acquired when the original 2001/02 budget was compiled and was therefore not included in the budget.

B SEWERAGE FUND

The July 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds deficit as at 30 June 2002 is \$4,976,031. There are no proposed variations within this Budget Review. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$21,151,204. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	2,180,570
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	7,210,591
Plus adjustments increasing the Surplus in this review	0
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2002	5,030,021
Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	13,687,446
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	12,493,779
Plus adjustments decreasing the Deficit in this review	0
Estimated Deficit as to 30 June 2002	26,181,225

Proposed Variation to Budget

There are no proposed variations to the budget in this review.

C WATER FUND

The July 2001 Budget Review has been completed by all Budget Managers and the forecast working funds deficit as at 30 June 2002 is \$1,030,866. There are no proposed variations within this Budget Review. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$15,937,840. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

CS.154 CONTD

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	2,358,387
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	3,458,243
Plus adjustments in this review	0
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2002	1,099,856
Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	9,622,813
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	7,414,883
Plus adjustments in this review	0
Estimated Deficit as to 30 June 2002	17,037,696
Proposed Variation to Budget	

There are no proposed variations to the budget in this review.

D GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND

There are no proposed changes in this review and the forecast working funds position of the Parking Fund as at 30 June 2002 is a surplus of \$18,000. It is now mandatory that Council include depreciation in the budget. The amount included is \$814,056. The following two summaries show the effect when depreciation is not included and the result when depreciation is included.

The summary of the forecast financial result is as follows:-

Depreciation not included	\$
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	18,000
Estimated Result as per Original 2001/02 Budget	0
Estimated Surplus as at 30 June 2002	18,000
Depreciation included	
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2001 as per June Review	592,304
Estimated Deficit as per Original 2001/02 Budget	203,752
Estimated Deficit as at 30 June 2002	796,056

CS.154 CONTD

Financial Impact Statement

As per Fund Summaries attached.

RECOMMENDATION

- A **General Fund**
The proposed variations within the budget review be adopted.

- B **Sewerage Fund**
The information be noted.

- C **Water Fund**
The information be noted.

- D **Gosford Parking and CBD Improvement Fund**
The information be noted.

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154

FUND SUMMARY-GENERAL FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(137,219,629)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	148,426,775	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	11,207,146	DEFICIT
WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2001 Excluding the effect of Northpower Stadium and prior to Annual Statements and Audit	34,472,936	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002	45,680,082	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	6,780	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	45,686,862	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:15:00

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
14% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 1
Posting Year: 2002

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUL 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 1 General Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE
:OPERATING COSTS									
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	29701400	0	0	29701400	4893465	16.4%	24807935	29708180	6780
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	12761330	0	-15240	12746090	3178890	24.9%	9567200	12746090	0
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	5563007	0	0	5563007	836895	15.0%	4726112	5563007	0
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	6087556	0	0	6087556	839290	13.7%	5248266	6087556	0
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	8705215	0	0	8705215	1330847	15.2%	7374368	8705215	0
H HEALTH	23548994	0	0	23548994	4629272	19.6%	18919722	23548994	0
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	693483	0	0	693483	130368	18.8%	563115	693483	0
P PRIVATE WORKS	0	0	0	0	14035!!!!!!!!!!!!		-14035	0	0
R RECREATION	7253964	0	-244964	7009000	1984461	28.3%	5024539	7009000	0
T TRANSPORT	23388393	0	0	23388393	2617914	11.1%	20770479	23388393	0
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	11528586	0	0	11528586	810655	7.0%	10717931	11528586	0

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	129231928	0	-260204	128971724	21266093	16.4%	107705631	128978504	6780
:OPERATING INCOME									
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	-67407253	0	0	-67407253	-40871656	60.6%	-26535597	-67407253	0
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	-4702015	0	-16450	-4718465	-801565	16.9%	-3916900	-4718465	0
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	-2482500	0	0	-2482500	-449776	18.1%	-2032724	-2482500	0
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	-4275514	0	0	-4275514	-119187	2.7%	-4156327	-4275514	0
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	-8705215	0	0	-8705215	-4345642	49.9%	-4359573	-8705215	0
H HEALTH	-20995679	0	0	-20995679	-14010506	66.7%	-6985173	-20995679	0
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	-699389	0	0	-699389	-675215	96.5%	-24174	-699389	0
P PRIVATE WORKS	0	0	0	0	-10645!!!!!!!!!!!!		10645	0	0
R RECREATION	-748287	0	-34036	-782323	-551964	70.5%	-230359	-782323	0
T TRANSPORT	-3151344	0	0	-3151344	-99363	3.1%	-3051981	-3151344	0
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-11528586	0	0	-11528586	-1094126	9.4%	-10434460	-11528586	0

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-124695782	0	-50486	-124746268	-63029647	50.5%	-61716621	-124746268	0
** TOTAL OPERATING	4536146	0	-310690	4225456	-41763553	-988.3%	45989009	4232236	6780

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY

Report Date: 21/08/2001

Page: 2

r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:15:00

14% of year elapsed

Posting Year: 2002

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUL 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 1 General Fund (continued)

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	325500	0	0	325500	75072	23.0%	250428	325500	0	
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	1711500	0	56690	1768190	52451	2.9%	1715739	1768190	0	
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	135000	0	0	135000	499	0.3%	134501	135000	0	
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	3784756	0	0	3784756	387933	10.2%	3396823	3784756	0	
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	24005	0	30000	54005	594895	1101.5%	-540890	54005	0	
H HEALTH	546500	0	0	546500	30203	5.5%	516297	546500	0	
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
P PRIVATE WORKS	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
R RECREATION	2056200	0	279000	2335200	308354	13.2%	2026846	2335200	0	
T TRANSPORT	10630900	0	-100000	10530900	911713	8.6%	9619187	10530900	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	29735!!!!!!!		-29735	0	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	19214361	0	265690	19480051	2390854	12.2%	17089197	19480051	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRA	0	0	0	0	-1226!!!!!!!		1226	0	0	
C COMMUNITY FACILITIES	0	0	-25000	-25000	-25000	100.0%	0	-25000	0	
D DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDNG	0	0	0	0	-170480!!!!!!!		170480	0	0	
E ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	-2955756	0	0	-2955756	-2879999	97.4%	-75757	-2955756	0	
F FINANCIAL STRATEGY	-24005	0	-30000	-54005	-204005	377.7%	150000	-54005	0	
H HEALTH	-504500	0	0	-504500	0	0.0%	-504500	-504500	0	
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
R RECREATION	-1786200	0	0	-1786200	-161358	9.0%	-1624842	-1786200	0	
T TRANSPORT	-8502900	0	100000	-8402900	-258924	3.0%	-8143976	-8402900	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-13773361	0	45000	-13728361	-3700992	26.9%	-10027369	-13728361	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	5441000	0	310690	5751690	-1310138	-22.7%	7061828	5751690	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 1	GENERAL FUND								
		9977146	0	0	9977146	-43073691	-431.7%	53050837	9983926	6780
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-WATER FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(19,253,216)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	26,668,099	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	7,414,883	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2001 Prior to Annual Statements and Audit	9,622,813	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	0	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002	17,037,696	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	17,037,696	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council
 Page: 3
 r_gl033 21/08/2001 13:16:51

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
 14% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001
 Posting Year: 2002

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUL 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 3 Water Supply Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	1387000	0	0	1387000	95608	6.8%	1291392	1387000	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	20662939	0	10000	20672939	3315819	16.0%	17357120	20672939	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	22049939	0	10000	22059939	3411427	15.4%	18648512	22059939	0	
:OPERATING INCOME										
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-1387000	0	0	-1387000	-169627	12.2%	-1217373	-1387000	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	-15706480	0	0	-15706480	-5651865	35.9%	-10054615	-15706480	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-17093480	0	0	-17093480	-5821492	34.0%	-11271988	-17093480	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING	4956459	0	10000	4966459	-2410065	-48.5%	7376524	4966459	0	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
S SEWERAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
W WATER SUPPLY	4618160	0	-10000	4608160	321438	6.9%	4286722	4608160	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	4618160	0	-10000	4608160	321438	6.9%	4286722	4608160	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
W WATER SUPPLY	-2159736	0	0	-2159736	-67414	3.1%	-2092322	-2159736	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-2159736	0	0	-2159736	-67414	3.1%	-2092322	-2159736	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	2458424	0	-10000	2448424	254024	10.3%	2194400	2448424	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 3	WATER SUPPLY FUND								
		7414883	0	0	7414883	-2156040	-29.0%	9570923	7414883	0
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-SEWERAGE FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(28,556,645)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	41,050,424	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	12,493,779	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2001 Prior To Annual Statements and Audit	13,687,446	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	0	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002	26,181,225	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATION	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	26,181,225	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1033 21/08/2001 13:16:57MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
14% of year elapsedReport Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 4
Posting Year: 2002

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUL 2001 REVIEW"

FUND SUMMARY : 4 Sewerage Fund

	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
S SEWERAGE	36539896	0	0	36539896	11044645	30.2%	25495251	36539896	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	1538000	0	0	1538000	89027	5.7%	1448973	1538000	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	38077896	0	0	38077896	11133671	29.2%	26944225	38077896	0	
:OPERATING INCOME										
S SEWERAGE	-24998265	0	0	-24998265	-21780129	87.1%	-3218136	-24998265	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	-1538000	0	0	-1538000	-110381	7.1%	-1427619	-1538000	0	

** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-26536265	0	0	-26536265	-21890510	82.4%	-4645755	-26536265	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING	11541631	0	0	11541631	-10756839	-93.2%	22298470	11541631	0	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
S SEWERAGE	2972528	0	0	2972528	135548	4.5%	2836980	2972528	0	
V FLEET MANAGEMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	2972528	0	0	2972528	135548	4.5%	2836980	2972528	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
S SEWERAGE	-2020380	0	0	-2020380	-201790	9.9%	-1818590	-2020380	0	

** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-2020380	0	0	-2020380	-201790	9.9%	-1818590	-2020380	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	952148	0	0	952148	-66242	-6.9%	1018390	952148	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 4	SEWERAGE FUND								
		12493779	0	0	12493779	-10823081	-86.6%	23316860	12493779	0
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

FUND SUMMARY-GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND

ESTIMATED INCOME ON PRESENT TREND	(1,724,915)	
ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE ON PRESENT TREND	1,928,667	
ESTIMATED RESULT FOR THIS YEAR	203,752	DEFICIT
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AS AT 30 JUNE 2001 Prior to Annual Statements and Audit	592,304	DEFICIT
NET EFFECT OF WORKS B/FWD	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002	796,056	DEFICIT
<hr/>		
PLUS/MINUS EFFECT OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS	0	
ESTIMATED WORKING FUNDS AT 30 JUNE 2002 IF PROPOSALS ADOPTED	796,056	DEFICIT
<hr/>		

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1033 21/08/2001 13:17:04

MONTHLY BUDGET FUND SUMMARY
14% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 5
Posting Year: 2002

SELECTION IS :- Reference ="JUL 2001 REVIEW"										
FUND SUMMARY : 5 Gosford Parking and CBD Improvement Fund										
	ORIG.VOTE	B/FWD	SUP.VOTE	CURR.EST	YTD.BAL	% of EST	REMAINING	PROPOSED	DIFFERENCE	
:OPERATING COSTS										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	1800	1800	1397	77.6%	403	1800	0	
T TRANSPORT	639650	0	0	639650	45838	7.1%	593812	639650	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	639650	0	1800	641450	47235	7.3%	594215	641450	0	
:OPERATING INCOME										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
T TRANSPORT	-435898	0	0	-435898	-163053	37.4%	-272845	-435898	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING INCOME	-435898	0	0	-435898	-163053	37.4%	-272845	-435898	0	
** TOTAL OPERATING	203752	0	1800	205552	-115818	-56.3%	321370	205552	0	
:CAPITAL EXPENDITURE										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	317250	970005	-1800	1285455	123102	9.5%	1162353	1285455	0	
T TRANSPORT	0	0	0	0	972!!!!!!!!!!!!		-972	0	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	317250	970005	-1800	1285455	124074	9.6%	1161381	1285455	0	
:CAPITAL FUNDING										
I INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT	-317250	-970005	0	-1287255	-284652	22.1%	-1002603	-1287255	0	
T TRANSPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL FUNDING	-317250	-970005	0	-1287255	-284652	22.1%	-1002603	-1287255	0	
** TOTAL CAPITAL	0	0	-1800	-1800	-160578	8920.9%	158778	-1800	0	
=====										
Net Cost For Fund	: 5	GOSFORD PARKING AND CBD IMPROVEMENT FUND								
		203752	0	0	203752	-276396	-135.6%	480148	203752	0
=====										

ATTACHMENT TO CS.154 CONTD

Gosford City Council
r_g1032 21/08/2001 13:08:18

MONTHLY BUDGET DETAILS REPORT
14% of year elapsed

Report Date: 21/08/2001 Page: 1
Posting Year: 2002

Transaction Ref = "JUL 2001 REVIEW"

Program : A LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATION
Major Activity : 4 TRADING UNDERTAKINGS

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	Current	Proposed	Variance	Reason
:OPERATING COSTS					
A4001.101	TRADING-NIAGARA PARK SHOPPING CTR CARETAKER	64830	71610	6780	RUNNING COSTS OF NEW VEHICLE FOR
003	OPERATIONS	64830	71610	6780	
** TOTAL OPERATING COSTS		64830	71610	6780	
** TOTAL OPERATING		64830	71610	6780	
<hr/>					
Net Cost For Major Activity : 4 TRADING UNDERTAKINGS		64830	71610	6780	